SQL Queries	Update, Insert, Delete Comm	ands and Useful Func.s	What is the res	ult of the fo	llowing query	?		
WITH table1 AS (),	INSERT INTO table_name(C	INSERT INTO table_name(Column1, Column2,)			select 2+2 as Num from Person; ANSWER:			
table2 AS () VALUES ('Value1', 'Value2',);			The schema of the result relation is (Num). The result is a table of n tuples of value 4. Note that if Person is empty then so is the result table (n=0). • An arithmetic operation involving a NULL returns NULL. For example, NULL - NULL = NULL, not zero. • A boolean comparison between two values involving a NULL returns neither true nor false, but unknown in SQL's three-valued logic. E.g., neither NULL = NULL not					
SELECT DISTINCT col1, col2 alter_name DELETE FROM table_name WHERE some_col=some_value;								
WHERE col3="A" AND (col4 IS NOT NULL) UPDATE movies SET invoice='paid' WHERE paid > 0;								
COALESCE(col1, col2, "default value"):								
GROUP BY col5								
HAVING col6 > 1								
ORDER BY COUNT(*) DESC default:	ASC name VARCHAR(9) not null		NULL != NUL	L is true. To s	ee if a	Selection $\sigma_{\theta}(R)$		
Aggregation Functions:		key, implies UNIQUE	• Union R ₁ U R ₂					
COUNT, SUM, AVG, MIN, MAX male BOOLEAN default true.		es foreign_table(key),	whose WHER	E expression	evaluates	Difference $R_1 - R_2$		
role VARCHAR(9) check (role in ('user', 'admin')			to true, and g	roups whose	HAVING • I	Product $R_1 \times R_2$		
UNION/EXCEPT/INTERSECT			• (Rename) $\rho_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}(R)$ • The aggregate COUNT(*) counts all NULL and non-NULL tuples; COUNT(attribute) counts all tuples					
SELECT col FROM table1 WHERE id IN (FOREIGN KEY (c1, c2) REFERENCES table2(k1, k2)								
SELECT t1_id FROM table2 WHERE A>1); PRIMARY KEY (ssn, name, id)VIEWS:			whose attribut	te value is no	t NULL.	oin $R_1 \bowtie_{\theta} R_2$		
CHECK works on single columns: CREATE OR REPLACE VIEW view1 AS			Other SQL ag	g. func. igno	re NULL	Semijoin $R_1 sj_{\theta} R_2$		
CREATE TABLE Album SELECT patient_id, patient_name from patients;			 values in their MAX() of NUI 	computation	n. • I ms NULL.	ntersection $R_1 \cap R_2$		
CHECK (NumSongs = (SELECT COUNT(*) FROM Songs s DDL for	Weak Entity ↓		Lleen File	Souto d Ella	Division $R_1 \div R_2$		
WHERE s.Singe	rID=Album.SingerID AND			Heap File	Sorted File	Hasned File		
s.AlbumName=Album.AlbumName)) Create	table Book(0) Drimary koy (TORN)).	Scan all recs	p(T) D	P(T) D	1.25 p(T) D		
Superkey: A set of ≥1 columns. Create table BookCopy(Equality Search	p(T) D / 2	$D \log_2 p(T)$	D		
Superset to a candidate key (ISBN varchar(30), copy# varchar(20),			Range Search	p(T) D	$D \log_2 p(T)$	1.25 p(T) D		
(Therefore, a superkey must Pr	imary key(copy#, ISBN),	,.	Ū		+ (# pages			
contain >1 columns.)	oreign key ISBN refers Book(ISBN) ON DELETE CASCADE));			with matches)			
Minimal Super key = Candidate Ke	ey: A key that can uniquely identify	each row in a table.	Insert	2D	Search $+ p(T) D$	2D		
Primary Key: The <u>chosen</u> Candidate Key for doing that.			Delete	Search + D	Search $\pm p(T) D$	20		
Secondary key / Alternate key: A Candidate Key not chosen for doing that.			Delete	Armotronal	β			
Search Rey: A key used for locating records. Sort or control key: A key used to physically sort the stored data. Composite key or concetenate key: A key with >1 columns. (Levally implies "composite primary key!").								
Foreign Key : A key in one table ("the dependent table") that matches the PK of another table ("the parent table") Augmentation: $X \rightarrow Y = XZ$						\rightarrow Y => XZ \rightarrow YZ		
Types of Dependencies First Normal Form (1NE): there are a fixed number of columna Union: $X \rightarrow Y + Y \rightarrow Z => X \rightarrow Z$						$Y \to Z \Longrightarrow X \to Z$		
From the full key: full PK \rightarrow outside of the PK Second Normal Form (2NF): 1NF and no partial dependencies					tion: $X \rightarrow YZ =$	$> X \rightarrow Y + X \rightarrow Z$		
Partial dependency: part of the PK \rightarrow outside Third Normal Form (3NF): 2NF and no transitive dependencies. • Pseudotrans. : $X \rightarrow Y + WY \rightarrow Z \Rightarrow WX \rightarrow Z$								
Into-key dependency: outside \rightarrow outside \rightarrow into	the PK (BCNF): 1NF + all dep. fro	m full many to one	Shop Tr	ning master	one-to-many + lo	ck of primary key in		
18, Bit is a lossless decomposite of R & Ri 1 R2 + Ri-R2 or R2-R1 long to long coll is slave + tot. Participation = Weak Entity								
If fails: Create a new sub-relation of R, MR2+(R1-R2) or (R2-R1)								
{R1,, Rn} is dependency preserving if F ⁺ = (FR1 U UFR2) ⁺ (controls) "sub" [People] (id) buyer relations in the service states and share in the service states and the service								
Quick Valida?: For VA->B in Ft of R, 3R that contains A, B. Inster slave Class is key attrib.								
No Redundancy / BCNF and always compatible w/ ED presonvation: def decomp (R): for A->B in R0.F+.proj (R): Person Jole Slave (salwy)								
Validation: Every FD in F+ should come from a if A is not a superkey of R: new R1 = A+B R2) \leftarrow Decompose Isolation Levels \downarrow ER Diagrams \uparrow Deadlocks								
superkey (including PK) of some sub-r	relation. new $R2 = A+(R-B)$	om Serializah	I. Repeatable R. C	ommited R.U.	n comm. If multip	ble transactions		
Algorithm: (right). If assertion fails, try 3NF: 1 Given F calc its min cover Fm		Rel. X-locks At the end	At the end At the end Not obtained acquire locks on data					
2. For every X->Y in Fm, create a relation	Rel. S-locks At the end	LAt the end A.	SAP Not	items ir	a specific order			
3. If the key of R, K, is not in any R, creat		Not obtained Not	obtained Not	btained (a trans	action doesn't			
Algorithm: Repeat these properties in any order on all FDs in F+, till no change:		Phantom Read Prevented	Maybe M	aybe Ma	have to	acquire locks on		
How to find Minimal Cover of a FD set F:	Nonrepeat.Rd. Prevented	l Prevented M	aybe Mo	then no	cycle can happen			
on any FD, till no change can be made: 1 Use the Decomposition Rule: X-YZ => Urty Reads Prevented Prevented May be								
$X \rightarrow Y, X \rightarrow Z.$ 2 Simplify LHS: AB \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow B => A \rightarrow C, A \rightarrow B. 3 Simplify RHS: Conflict Serializable: Ways to see whether a schedule is conflict serializable:								
$A \rightarrow BC$, $A \rightarrow B = A \rightarrow C$, $A \rightarrow B$. Closure of an attribute. a: a+ is the set of all								
possibly can be determined by a through EDs in F. Algorithm: result = set (a);								
while result.updated: for A->B in F: if A in result: result+=B. At Least one of them is a WRITE operation								
Consistency: each transaction is executed in isolation keeps the database in a Well-formed . Acquired				nd <u>2PL</u> are s ould. (i.e., no	erializable. t read uncom	M.)		
consistent state (this is the responsibility of the user and constraints on the DB) - 2PL: Don't acquire any lock after one lock has been released.						, 		
Usolation: transactions won't affect each other. Our ability: updates preserves! Types of File Organization WB Conflict (dirty read): A transaction T2 could read a database object A that has								
been modified by another transaction T1, which has not vet committed. Conflicts			a unordered o wr	rites new data	a to the end			
RW Conflict (unrepeatable read): T1 reads	- Sorted files: cheap f	Sorted files: cheap for sorted data retrieval & range searches.						
Now if T1 reads row A again, A is now difference Blis returned T2 adds row C that satisfies 1	- Hashed files: cheap	for equality searches.						
WW Conflict (lost update): A transaction T2 could overwrite the value of an object			verflow pages	 nashing fu 	nction over sea	rcn key attributes		
A, which has already been modified by a transaction T1, while T1 is still in progress.		JOIN COSIS [Merge Sort Join]	Locks 2PL	S2PI	L	SS2PL		
Consititutes as a file organization. It's a clustering and sparse index.		To sort each relation:	X-locks Release	ed ASAP Rele	ased at the end	Released at the end		
For each dataset, at most one index can be in Alternative 1.		Number of Passes = $1 + \log r + \sqrt{N/R}$	S-locks Release	ASAP Rolo	ased ASAP	Released at the end		
Cheap for lookups; costly for insertions and deletions. Storage		B = # buffers. $N = #$ pages	S.					
2. (key, record to imatching data record) 3. (key, list of record ids of matching data records) Alternatives SortCost: 2N * (# Passes).						$2 \times 1/2 \times 2$		
For the last two: For each dataset, multi	P(S)	[Merge Join] 2)) * b(S) [New	IJ MergeCost: b(]	$x_1 + b(S) + SortCost$ $b(R) + t(R) \cdot b(S)$				
\blacksquare A3 is more compact than A2, but leads to variable sized data entries even if search keys are of fixed length. \blacksquare Easier to maintain, but more costly to look up								
	tree) and: - Clustered index: 1 I/O on avg Unclustered index: Up to 1 I/O per S tuple. h(R): Number of blocks (pages of relation R) r(R): Number of tuples of R (rows)							
				·		~ x U \ /		

